



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MERCK AND CO., INC
P O BOX 2000
RAHWAY NJ 07065-0907

COPY MAILED .

DEC 17 2009

In re Patent No. 7,592,360	:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Liverton, et al.	:	
Issue Date: September 22, 2009	:	DECISION ON REQUEST FOR
Application No.10/559,153	:	RECONSIDERATION OF
Filed: December 5, 2005	:	PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT
Attorney Docket No. 21414P	:	

This is in response to the "REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT PERIOD UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d)" filed October 6, 2009. Patentees request that the determination of patent term adjustment for the above-identified patent be corrected from five hundred thirty-four (534) days to eight hundred twenty-five (825) days.

Preliminarily, patentees request that the Office defer or delay a decision on this request for reconsideration until a final decision has been rendered in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F.Supp.2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008), which is now on appeal at the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, under Federal Circuit Docket No. 2009-1120. However, the Office notes that there is no specific regulatory provision for requesting that a petition under 37 CFR 1.705(d) be held in abeyance.

The request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment is **DISMISSED** with respect to making any change in the patent term adjustment determination under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) of 534 days.

This application was filed on December 5, 2005. On September 22, 2009, the application matured into U.S. Patent No. 7,592,360, with a revised patent term adjustment of 534 days. The Office determined that the 291 days of Office delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b)^{1,2} overlaps with the 564

¹ Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 37 CFR 1.702(b) provides, in pertinent part, that:

days of Office delay pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1)^{3,4} accorded prior to the issuance of the patent. As such, the Office allowed only entry of the adjustment of 564 days. No additional days of patent term adjustment were entered at issuance under the three-year pendency provision. Given the applicant delay of 30 days, the patent issued with a revised patent term adjustment of 534 (564 - 30) days.

On September 22, 2009, patentees timely submitted this request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment within two months of the issue date of the patent. See 37 CFR 1.705(d). Patentees aver that the correct number of days of patent term adjustment is 825 days under the court's interpretation of the overlap provision as set forth in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp. 2d 138, 88 U.S.P.Q.2d 1538 (D.D.C. 2008). Specifically, patentees state:

Failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application.

² The application was pending three years and 291 days.

³ 37 CFR § 1.702, provides grounds for adjustment of patent term due to examination delay under the Patent Term Guarantee Act of 1999 (original applications, other than designs, filed on or after May 29, 2000).

(a) Failure to take certain actions within specified time frames. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to:

(1) Mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 in an international application;

⁴ A restriction requirement was not mailed until August 22, 2008, fourteen months and 564 days after the date of completion of all 35 U.S.C. 371 requirements on December 5, 2005.

Patentees now assert that they re entitled to an additional 291 day adjustment period, under 35 USC § 154(b)(1)(B). Section 154(b)(1)(B) provides that patentees are entitled to a term adjustment "if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States." The actual filing date of this application in the United States was December 5, 2005, when the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 371 were met. Accordingly, the application should have issued on December 5, 2008. This patent issued September 22, 2009, and thus the pendency period was 3 years and 291 days...Patentees should therefore be entitled to an additional 291 day patent term adjustment, and should be accorded a total of 825 days of adjustment.

Excerpt taken from "Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment Period under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d) ", filed 10/06/2009, pgs.1-2.

The Office has considered patentees' interpretation of the period of overlap, but finds it inconsistent with the Office's interpretation of the overlap provision, 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) limits the adjustment of patent term, as follows:

to the extent that the periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

As explained in *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f)*⁵ and of the *United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004), the Office interprets 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) as permitting either patent

⁵ Likewise, 37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in § 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv), or patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), but not as permitting patent term adjustment under both 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(i)-(iv) and 154(b)(1)(B). Accordingly, the Office implements the overlap provision as follows:

If an application is entitled to an adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the period of delay under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay overlap under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). Thus, any days of delay for Office issuance of the patent more than 3 years after the filing date of the application, which overlap with the days of patent term adjustment accorded prior to the issuance of the patent will not result in any additional patent term adjustment. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A), and 37 CFR § 1.703(f). See *Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment Under Twenty Year Term; Final Rule*, 65 Fed. Reg. 56366 (Sept. 18, 2000). See also *Revision of Patent Term Extension and Patent Term Adjustment Provisions; Final Rule*, 69 Fed. Reg. 21704 (April 22, 2004), 1282 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 100 (May 18, 2004). See also *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, 69 Fed. Reg. 34283 (June 21, 2004).

Further, as stated in the *Explanation of 37 CFR 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A)*, the Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

This interpretation is consistent with the statute. Taken together the statute and rule provide that to the extent that

periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1) and in corresponding 37 CFR 1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

In this instance, the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A) is the period during which the application was pending before the Office, beginning on the date the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) on December 5, 2005, and ending on September 22, 2009, the date the patent issued (not including any other periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)).

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) and 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), 564 days of patent term adjustment were accorded during the pendency of the application for Office delay prior to the issuance of the patent. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B) and 37 CFR 1.702(b), 291 days of patent term adjustment accrued for Office issuance of the patent more than three years after the date the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b).

All of the 291 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(b) overlap with the 564 days of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1). Entry of both the 564 days and the 291 days is neither permitted nor warranted. 564 days is the actual number of days issuance of the patent was delayed.

Accordingly, at issuance, the Office properly entered no additional days of patent term adjustment.

In view thereof, the Office affirms that the revised determination of patent term adjustment at the time of the issuance of the patent is 534 days (564 days for Office delay - 30 days of applicant delay).

The Office acknowledges the previous submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e). No additional fees are required.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Kenya A. McLaughlin, Petitions Attorney, at (571) 272-3222.

Christina Tartera Donnell

Christina Tartera Donnell
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions